

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICIAL JUDICIAL CASE FILE & JUDGMENT RECORD

Case Docket No.:	No. CR-2025-PB-LI	Date of Intake:	August 6, 2025
Defendant:	Patzy Sussane Baker	Jurisdiction:	State of California, County of Los Angeles

SECTION I: CHARGES AND PROSECUTORIAL FINDINGS

The defendant, **Patzy Sussane Baker**, was formally arraigned and tried under the laws of the State of California on a multi-count indictment:

- Count I: Murder in the First Degree (Cal. Penal Code § 187)** – Premeditated homicide of her former romantic partner, perpetrated during night hours via blunt force trauma utilizing a heavy demolition hammer while the victim was asleep.
- Count II: Murder in the First Degree (Cal. Penal Code § 187)** – Premeditated homicide of the secondary victim (the ex-partner's companion at the time), executed concurrently during the same criminal episode.
- Enhancements:** Use of a deadly and dangerous weapon in the commission of a felony, coupled with findings of severe premeditation and lying-in-wait special circumstances.

SECTION II: TRIAL PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY

During the judicial proceedings, the prosecution presented overwhelming forensic evidence, blood-spatter analysis matching the defendant's profile, and the recovery of the murder weapon.

The defense counsel introduced mitigating arguments emphasizing emotional distress and psychological provocation. Notably, testimony was entered into record from the defendant's current partner, who took the stand to testify regarding his prior frantic efforts to talk the defendant out of committing the acts, noting that he had accompanied her to the vicinity of the residence in a desperate, last-ditch attempt to deter her before the crime occurred. Despite these statements demonstrating a lack of conspiracy on his part, the gravity, brutality, and planning of the double homicide precluded leniency.

SECTION III: VERDICT AND SENTENCING

JUDICIAL FINDINGS & CAPITAL SENTENCE

Veredicto / Verdict: Guilty on all counts of First-Degree Murder with special circumstances.

Sentencia / Sentence: Condemned to suffer death, execution to be administered in accordance with state statutory mandates.

SECTION IV: STATUTORY METHOD SELECTION MANDATE

Pursuant to California penal regulations governing capital punishment, the condemned prisoner was formally advised of her statutory right to elect the method of her execution between Lethal Gas or Lethal Injection.

Defendant's Election: Following formal consultation with her legal counsel and judicial notification, Patzy Sussane Baker formally executed a written waiver electing **Lethal Injection** as her preferred method of execution over the gas chamber alternative.

SECTION V: TRANSFER AND CUSTODIAL STATUS

Due to severe overcrowding conditions across standard state correctional facilities in California, and pursuant to an inter-agency interstate security transfer agreement, the inmate was subsequently designated for specialized high-security confinement and transferred under heavy federal guard protocol into the maximum-security clinical facilities of ASTEN. Her status remains logged under active experimental and high-security containment protocols pending final administrative clearance.